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| QUESTION | COMMENT |
| --- | --- |
| Q1: | Do you agree with this assessment? Why or why not? | Yes |
| Q2: | Do you have experience with preferred supplier provisions in a GMSA? If so, what effect do you think it has on the market for metering services? Are there any other comments you wish to make about these provisions? | This has not been an issue for Trustpower at this point in time.  |
| Q3: | Do you have any observations or comments to make about new connections service request processes? Are they fair, or do they unduly favour certain meter owners? | The service request process has not been an issue for Trustpower in selecting the Metering provider. |
| Q4: | Do you agree that a model GMSA and benchmark terms are not required? Why or why not?  | Yes we agree no model GMSA is required at this time.  |
| Q5: | Given that the template GMSAs for the two largest providers are already broadly aligned, do you consider it likely that a similar outcome will be achieved for GMSAs for advanced metering services? If that outcome were not achieved, what issues would arise for you and would these be significant in terms of cost or efficiency? | As long as we are able, as the retailer, to ultimately select the Meter provider market forces will allow for the best practice when Advanced metering services become common place.  |
| Q6: | Why do you think retailers may not be amenable to moving to separate network and metering services agreements?  | We support the move to spate agreements |
| Q7: | What is required to incentivise a move to signed, separate network and metering services agreements and what is the best path to achieving that? Alternatively, is this a matter best left to the parties themselves? | We believe that the advent of Gas AMI will, in time, lead to the separation of Network and metering agreements.  |
| Q8: | Do you have any views on these issues? Are they issues that Gas Industry Co should advance, and if so, what do you suggest? | We would support the recording of the ICP on the Gas meter.  |
| Q9: | Are there any other comments or feedback you would like to provide in relation to metering services agreements? | No |
| Q10: | Do you have any comments or observations about the state of the advanced gas metering market? | No |
| Q11: | Do you agree with this assessment?  | Yes |
| Q12: | Should Gas Industry Co request that the File Formats Working Group develop a standard construct for advanced metering services and a minimum dataset (and provide assistance to reconstitute the group to include meter owners)? | Yes |
| Q13: | Do you agree with this assessment? | Yes the retailer is the guardian of the consumer’s data, but it does belong to the consumer.  |
| Q14: | Do you consider that there are registry-related issues that still need to be addressed to support the deployment of advanced gas meters? If so, please describe the issues that arise and how changes to the registry could resolve them. | No |
| Q15: | Are there any other comments you would like to make about the Advanced Metering Paper – or about advanced metering in general? | No |
| Q16: | Are there any issues in relation to gas metering-related consumer complaints that you wish to raise? | No  |