

10 March 2020

Andrew Knight
Chief Executive Officer
Gas Industry Company Limited

Dear Andrew.

Re: Information Disclosure Problem Assessment - Cross-Submission Issues

Greymouth Gas considers that matters should be assessed on their fundamentals and merits, and not on the basis of popularity.

## 1. Contract price and volume information

- 1.1 Pursuant to s43N of the Gas Act 1992, it is unclear what the objective would be of regulations designed to address this matter.
- 1.2 Further, nothing has changed for a long time vis-à-vis release of contract prices. MBIE publishes quarterly sales information and prices meaning further information would be of marginal benefit at best.
- 1.3 In the previous paper, GIC noted that no rationale had been put forward by the parties in support of this idea. Greymouth Gas submits, in the spirit of the cross-submission process, that should those parties now provide the rationale then all parties should have the opportunity to cross-submit on that rationale.

## 2. Forecasts of major users' gas consumption

2.2 Greymouth Gas has nothing further to add.

## 3. Major gas user facility outages and the expert report

- 3.1 It is difficult to reconcile the brief ('The Impact of Methanex Plant Outages on the Gas Wholesale Market') with the one recommendation ("...[develop an] information disclosure regime requiring a party intending to [sell gas] to [disclose that intention prior to sale]"). More specifically, it is as if the author:
  - Has not considered the Upstream Gas Outage Information Disclosure Code 2020, which is relevant and has been consulted on publicly.
  - Has not considered the 'buy' side, i.e. why wouldn't the report also recommend disclosure of intention to buy if it recommends the same on the sell side?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Including those raised by Greymouth Gas in our previous submission in this workstream.

- Is unaware that there are other ways of executing wholesale transactions in the market<sup>2</sup> in addition to using emsTradepoint.
- 3.2 Greymouth Gas considers that the discussion has deviated from the matter at hand and has strayed to querying potential bids and offers not yet on the market. This is not a problem identified in any GIC papers to date.<sup>3</sup>
- 3.3 The matter at hand is <u>outage</u> information for downstream participants. The GIC is urged to consider this.

Yours sincerely

Chris Boxall Commercial Manager

<sup>2</sup> E.g. bilateral processes, which is also what the GTAC will help enhance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> How would a party even disclose intention prior to the making of gas available? The making of gas available *is* the intention when it comes to offers. And if gas has not been made available for a bid to accept, a party clearly does not yet intend for that gas to be sold.