From: Jim Green [Jim.Green@mca.govt.nz] Sent: Tuesday, 29 August 2006 9:23 a.m.

To: Kelly Rastovich

Cc: Mehdi Yassaie; Tony Smith; Evelyn Cole; Graham Boxall

Subject: RE: Gas Emergency Arrangements

Dear Kelly

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this paper. These comments are made from both the energy safety and the consumer perspectives, and relate to two section in the paper:

Clauses 6.14, 6.15: Definition of "transmission system"

Clauses 8.41, 8.42: Non-specification gas

Questions	Comments
Q13: Do you agree that the current definition of "Transmission System" should be amended? If not, please provide reasons. If yes, please provide a draft definition.	See response to Q14.
Q14: Do you agree that the current definition of "NGC Transmission" should be replaced with a more generic definition of "System Operator" (or similar) as proposed? Ifnot, please provide reasons.	Yes. The paper suggests the definition should be neutral as to ownership. We agree, and note the definition in the Gas Act: "gas transmission means the supply of line function services by means of high pressure gas pipelines operated at a gauge pressure exceeding 2,000 kilopascals" The NGOCP definition does not need to be aligned with that in
	the Gas Act, but alignment would reduce the risk of incompatibility and confusion.
Q36 Are there any other factors the Emergency Operator should have regard to in making any such direction? If so, please detail those additional factors.	■ Compliance with legislation needs to be considered. Reason: Regulation 3 of the Gas Regulations 1993 requires compliance with NZS 5442. The NGOCP needs to give consideration to the implications of supplying non-compliant gas, and how that situation should be managed. Note however that an impending review of the regulations is expected to include consideration of amending regulation 3 to allow in special circumstances for the supply of gas that does not comply with the NZS 5442. Responses to this issue from various stakeholders may be of value to this process. ■ A measured cost-benefit consideration could be added as another factor (i.e. it is not "safety at any cost").
	 Consideration should also be given to liabilities associated with supplying non-compliant gas (perhaps not a factor for case by case decisions, but rather a higher level generic consideration).

Regards, Jim Green

From: Kelly Rastovich [mailto:Kelly.rastovich@gasindustry.co.nz]

Sent: Friday, 28 July 2006 5:20 p.m.

To: ...

Subject: Gas Emergency Arrangements

Dear Industry Participant,

Please find attached the Gas Industry Co's discussion paper on "Review of Gas Emergency Arrangements".

We are seeking feedback on the specific questions contained in the paper in addition to any other comments you may have. Submissions are due on **Friday the 25**th **August 2006.**

Please also note that we are proposing to hold a workshop to discuss this paper on the morning of Friday the 18th August 2006, please mark your diaries with this date and further details will be circulated in due course.

Kind regards

Ian Dempster

Kelly Rastovich Office Administrator / Team Secretary Gas Industry Company Limited

Level 9, State Insurance Tower 1 Willis Street PO Box 10-646, Wellington New Zealand

DDI: +64 4 494 2469 Phone: +64 4 472 1800 Fax: +64 4 472 1801

This email message and any attachments contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately, and erase all copies of the message and attachments.

A new monthly electronic newsletter covering all aspects of MED's work is now available. Subscribers can choose to receive news from any or all of seven categories, free of charge: Growth and Innovation, Strategic Directions, Energy and Resources, Business News, ICT, Consumer Issues and Tourism. See http://news.business.govt.nz for more details.

govt.nz - connecting you to New Zealand central & local government services

Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of the Ministry of Economic Development. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.

From: Mehdi Yassaie [mailto:Mehdi.Yassaie@med.govt.nz]

Sent: Tuesday, 29 August 2006 11:23 a.m.

To: Jim Green; Kelly Rastovich

Cc: Tony Smith; Evelyn Cole; Graham Boxall **Subject:** RE: Gas Emergency Arrangements

Some of the important issues that affect us are;

- 1-A plan for re-commissioning of systems after they were shut down
- 2-Industries that must not be shut down (e.g. glass industries)
- 3-Transparancy of the plan and involvement of the regulator downstream to ensure all is under control (given more than one gas network operator in any given area affected.
- 4- Inclusion and appropriate overlaps between nations emergency plan (assuming it arises from Transmission system failure) and the emergency response plan that individual gas network operators uses and synchronisation of these two for various scenarios
- 5-The response plan, that I saw sometime ago, makes assumption about the point of breakdown is rather far from a major centre and did not provide for response when the breakdown is very close to a city gate. close to a major city.

Regards

Mehdi Yassaie

Principal Technical Advisor

Energy Safety service

Ministry of Consumer Affairs NZ

Telephone: +64-4-474 2851, Fax: +64 4 460 1365

Be Energy safe, visit our website at http://www.ess.govt.nz