Retail Gas Contracts: Review of the Oversight Scheme

Retail Gas Contract Submissions

Comment
Yes. Commercial contracts should be exempt as they will be subject to a separate process as part of the negotiation of commercial contract terms between the retailer and buyer.
No. Special terms will be subject to negotiation between the retailer and the buyer, and therefore should be outside of the scheme.
No. We do not see any benefit to consumers (or the industry) in extending the scheme to LPG supply contracts.
Yes. Frequency of assessments should be driven by change and not by arbitrary dates. With the GIC having published assessments of the standard terms and conditions (T&Cs) of all retailers, we would like to see the assessment matrix remain on the GIC's website for the benefit of consumers, and only be changed to reflect the inclusion of mandatory assessments for any new entrant retailers, removal of assessments for any exiting retailers, and mandatory assessments where an existing retailer changes its published T&Cs. Should industry or regulatory change necessitate the need to review and amend one or more benchmark terms, this should trigger an assessment of all retailers' T&Cs with respect to the changed benchmark term(s) only.
Yes, to a time period driven by change as outlined in our response to Q4.
Yes. It is our position that agreements should only be assessed when they are updated, or when a new entrant joins the market. In order to ensure alignment is maintained with the benchmarks, reviews should also be mandatory when a retailer changes its T&Cs.

Q7. Should retailers be able to request additional reviews?	Yes, but the cost should be borne by the retailer requesting the review. As noted above reviews should be mandatory post the publication of new T&Cs by retailers.
Q8. Should assessment focus on alignment of key benchmarks (with a watching brief on others)?	Where a change is to a small number of benchmark terms the assessment should focus only on the changed benchmark terms.