

Appendix A Format for submissions

To assist Gas Industry Co in the orderly consideration of stakeholders' responses, a suggested format for submissions has been prepared. This is drawn from the questions posed throughout the body of this consultation document.

Respondents are also free to include other material in their responses.

QUESTION	COMMENT
Q1: Do you agree with Gas Industry Co's decision to pursue the ICD process? If not, why?	Agreed
Q2 Do you agree with Gas Industry Co's proposal to pursue the participative regulation option? If not, why?	Agreed
Q3: Do you agree that the draft rules adequately address issues with respect to residual pipeline imbalance? If not, why?	Moderately agree.
Q4: Do you have any comments on the major operational provisions?	Upon conclusion of the ICD process we will have a firmer position on this issue.
Q5: Do you agree with Gas Industry Co's decision not to include curtailment, damages and tolerances? If not, why?	Agreed. If the operational architecture fundamentals are designed properly then these matters become less critical and easier to
Q6: Do you agree with the details of the balancing plan? If not, why?	Moderately agree.

QUESTION	COMMENT
<p>Q7: Do you have any other comments on any aspects of the proposal?</p>	<p>In order for any balancing regime to be effective and avoid short to mid term operational problems and potentially future litigation, both the Maui Pipeline and the Vector System need to function, and have identical nomination and balancing characteristics – if the current status quo remains in place we would favour regulation. The MDL nomination model with the additional of end of month balancing so that non-TOU shippers can balance their mis-matches would seem to us as the ideal and fairest model for the industry to head towards.</p>
<p>Q8: Do you agree with the proposed next steps? If not, why?</p>	<p>Agreed</p>