Extending the Electricity Price Review's Final Recommendations to the Gas Market – Submissions Analysis and Recommendations

Genesis Energy Response

Prepared by: Warwick Williams

Senior Regulatory Counsel and Group Insurance Manager

Date: 22 March 2021

EPR Panel Recommendation		GIC Final Recommendation
Q1	Do you support GIC's final recommendations in section	Our response to each recommendation is set out below.
	4.4 of this report? Please address your comments to	
	each relevant recommendation separately (as set out	As an overarching comment, we emphasise the need to ensure that:
	below).	
		(a) The learnings from the EPR Panel's recommendations implementation in the
	Please note that GIC is not consulting on the EPR	electricity market are considered (particularly, in relation to the Vulnerable
	recommendations set out sections 4.2 and 4.3. These	Consumer Guidelines and the UDL/PowerSwitch Guidelines). These reflect
	sections cover (respectively) EPR Recommendations	a considerable investment of time and resource by the industry and
	that will not be extended to the Gas industry, and EPR	Electricity Authority which should be leveraged.
	recommendations that GIC considers do not require	
	further industry consultation.	(b) For consistency between the two markets to reduce compliance costs, but
		recognising that in some instances, the EPR recommendations will need to
		be adjusted to reflect gas market features. We commend the GIC for

EPR Panel Recommendation		GIC Final Recommendation
		 applying this principle (e.g. in recognising that the medically dependent consumer guideline recommendation is not relevant to the gas market). (c) Dual fuel retailers and standalone gas/LPG retailers are treated in the same way to ensure a level playing field. (d) There is an appropriate implementation period. We suggest six months.
В6	Set mandatory minimum standards to protect vulnerable and medically dependent consumers.	Genesis supports the GIC's final recommendation and looks forward to the draft guidelines. As a guiding principle, dual fuel and standalone gas/LPG retailers should be treated consistently to ensure a level playing field and minimise the risk of unintended consequences. It is unclear from the GIC's comments and approach on monitoring why there should be different periods and what the consequences are. That is, if there is a non-compliant standalone LPG/Gas retailer would mandatory standards apply to that retailer, to all standalone retailers as a category or to all retailers? Please would the GIC clarify. We suggest that there is no need for prescribed monitoring periods. Compliance should be expected and supported by regular monitoring. If there is widespread / repeated non-compliance, mandatory standards should be introduced.

EPR Panel Recommendation		GIC Final Recommendation
		Assuming that there are good reasons for prescribed monitoring periods, then we recommend that the same period applies to all retailers.
В7	Prohibit prompt payment discounts but allow reasonable late payment fees.	Genesis does not support this recommendation for the reasons set out in our December 2020 submission. Our comments above concerning the consequences of non-compliance and different monitoring periods are also applicable here.
C1	Merge the Electricity Authority and Consumer NZ price comparison websites: Whatsmynumber and PowerSwitch.	Genesis supports these recommendations. We note that the UDL/PowerSwitch disclosure obligations in the electricity market apply to contestable residential customers and recommend that the GIC clarifies that
C2	Improve consumer awareness of PowerSwitch and Utilities Disputes.	the same applies in the gas market.

EPR Panel Recommendation		GIC Final Recommendation
C5	Prohibit saves & win-backs.	Genesis does not support this recommendation for the reasons set out in our December 2020 submission.
F3	Update the Electricity Authority's compliance framework and strengthen its information gathering powers.	As set out in our December 2020 submission, any change should be supported by evidence.