

Consultation Questions and Responses

Q1	.Do submitters agree with GIC’s assessment of the strategic context?	Yes, although the strategic context for your work programme will change with the new government. We believe an increased emphasis on energy security can be expected from the coalition government.
Q2	Do submitters have any comments on the process for developing GIC’s FY2025 work programme and levy?	<p>See Q4 below. Acknowledging that potentially significant revisions may be needed to the “Trusted Advisor” work programme, we suggest a process for seeking feedback on such changes is arranged.</p> <p>We note the co-regulatory forum did not seem particularly effective in providing the steering discussion sought by GIC. This may have been a result of time constraints, the IT difficulties experienced in the forum, lack of preparation time for participants other than GIC, or simply the online format providing limited interaction opportunities.</p> <p>We suggest that circulation of an early draft of your consultation paper would be valuable and would provide useful rich detail and context that is unavoidably absent in your Forum slidepack.</p>
Q3	Do you consider there to be any other items that should be included in developing GIC’s FY2025 work programme?	No.
Q4	Do you consider there to be any items that should be excluded from GIC’s FY2025 work programme?	Your proposed work programme rests heavily on continued work with MBIE on the Gas Transition Plan. This plan was a priority for the previous government. The role and importance of the Gas Transition Plan and the Energy Strategy under the new government are currently unclear. While it seems likely that work on CCUS and supply security will continue in some form, we welcome your recognition that agility in

		<p>adapting your “Trusted Advisor” work programme will be needed. Given this, we would like to enquire what process GIC will follow to seek feedback on any revised work programme in FY2025.</p>
Q5	Is the 150PJ projection reasonable?	Confidential.
Q6	Do you have any comments on the proposed levy rates for FY2025?	<p>No.</p> <p>We welcome your planned review of your levy funding method and your exploration of viable alternatives and look forward to engagement with you on this topic.</p>