NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF MARKET ADMINISTRATOR		
Dated:	4 May2012	
Breach notice identifying number:	2011-029(09/12/BEL24510) 2011-059(10/1/BEL24510) 2011-091(10/2/BEL24510) 2011-117(10/3/BEL24510) 2011-160(10/4/GTA03610) 2011-160(10/4/HST05210) 2011-272(10/7/GTW06910) 2011-374(10/10/BEL24510) 2012-014(10/11/BEL24510) 2012-014(10/11/HST05210) 2012-014(10/11/TWA35610)	2012-014(10/11/WHG07501) 2012-014(10/11/PAU20101) 2012-040(10/12/BEL24510) 2012-040(10/12/GTH11301) 2012-040(10/12/GTW06910) 2012-040(10/12/HST05210) 2012-040(10/12/NPL12101) 2012-040(10/12/OTO14101) 2012-040(10/12/PAU20101) 2012-040(10/12/TWA35610) 2012-040(10/12/WHG07501)
Date of receipt:	Various dates from February 2011 to March 2012	
Name of reporting entity:	Allocation Agent	
Name of participant that is alleged to have breached the Rules:	Nova Gas Limited	
Rule/s allegedly breached:	Gas (Downstream Reconciliation) Rules 2008 Rule 37.2	
Determination made by Market Administrator under regulation 18:	<ul> <li>In the Market Administrator's opinion the alleged breaches do raise material issues. The alleged breaches will therefore be referred to investigation in accordance with regulation 18(3).</li> <li>The Market Administrator placed particular weight on the following criteria in making its determination:         <ul> <li>regulation 19(1)(b) – the alleged breaches were likely to have had a material impact on the operation of the market</li> <li>regulation 19(1)(f) – the alleged breaches were likely to have resulted in costs being borne by other participants or persons</li> </ul> </li> <li>regulation 19(1)(h) – the alleged breaches indicate a systematic problem with compliance</li> <li>regulation 19(1)(o) – the alleged breaches involve gas volume differences that are not within the threshold specified in "Market Administrator Guideline note rule 37 – materiality of rule 37.2 breaches (Downstream Reconciliation)"</li> </ul>	