

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF MARKET ADMINISTRATOR**

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Dated:</b>  | 4 May 2012   |   |
| <b>Breach notice identifying number:</b>                               | 2011-030(09/12/DRU15102)<br>2011-064(10/1/DRU15102)<br>2011-088(10/2/GMM08001)<br>2011-088(10/2/WHG07501)<br>2011-088(10/2/DRU15102)<br>2011-159(10/4/TKN17001)<br>2011-187(10/5/TKN17001)<br>2011-304(10/8/WTR12001)  | 2011-373(10/10/TKN17001)<br>2011-373(10/10/WHG07501)<br>2012-013(10/11/WHG07501)<br>2012-039(10/12/DRU15101)<br>2012-039(10/12/ELM12301)<br>2012-056(11/1/DRU15102)<br>2012-056(11/1/ELM12301)<br>2012-056(11/1/HUN15301) |
| <b>Date of receipt:</b>  | Various dates from February 2011 to March 2012   |   |
| <b>Name of reporting entity:</b>                                       | Allocation Agent   |   |
| <b>Name of participant that is alleged to have breached the Rules:</b> | On Gas Limited   |   |
| <b>Rule/s allegedly breached:</b>                                      | Gas (Downstream Reconciliation) Rules 2008<br>Rule 37.2  |   |
| <b>Determination made by Market Administrator under regulation 18:</b> | <p>In the Market Administrator’s opinion the alleged breaches do not raise material issues and the Market Administrator has decided to take no action on the alleged breaches.</p> <p>The Market Administrator placed particular weight on the following criteria in making its determination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• regulation 19(1)(a) – the alleged breaches were not severe</li> <li>• regulation 19(1)(o) – the alleged breaches involve gas volume differences within the threshold specified in “Market Administrator Guideline note rule 37 – materiality of rule 37.2 breaches (Downstream Reconciliation)”</li> </ul> |   |