## **Balancing Regime Checklist**

				Contracts based solution	Participative regulation option
Design Objectives	Efficiency	Ffficiency			<b>✓</b>
	Single balancing regime				<b>√</b>
	Clear roles				<b>✓</b>
Si	Avoid/address conflicts of interest				<b>✓</b>
<u>e</u> e	Appropriate information provision				<b>√</b>
	Transparency				✓
		+	Procurement on competitive market		✓
		l en	Balancing market open to all practicable sources		✓
		en	Bids changeable as late as reasonably practicable		✓
		Procurement	Clearing at marginal cost		✓
	ents	×	Clear scope for BA discretion		<b>✓</b>
	E	_inepack	Clear responsibility for compressor operation		✓
	ge		Clear responsibility for curtailment		✓ Note 2
н	Operating arrangements		All balancing gas allocated Allocation at cost (no penalties)		<u> </u>
	ti.	fio	Accounting and disclosure of linepack GJ & \$		<u>·</u> ✓
	्र ज	ပ္မ	Cost allocated to causers		<u> </u>
	) Oe	Allocation	UFG and own use gas accounted for		<b>✓</b>
н	Ö				
			Extended nominations		
		<u>_</u>	• D+1		
		Othe	Tolerances		
			• Title tracking		
			Direct BA–Causer relationship (no 'piggie') Note 3		
		Ф	Reporting obligations on BA		✓
		Governance	Audit of balancing transactions		✓
		.na	Funding arrangements		✓
		Ver	Effective change process		✓
		50	Effective dispute resolution		✓

<sup>1 –</sup> The checklist represents one set of features which could meet Gas Act and GPS requirements. The checklist is in draft form, as it is merely intended to aid in discussion.

<sup>2 –</sup> Curtailment is not a feature of the draft balancing regulations, it is assumed to be a transportation tool rather than a commercial balancing tool.

<sup>3 –</sup> Another (possibly better) way of describing this is 'single tier allocation' i.e. BA>Causers rather than BA>Vector>Causers. So the 'piggie in the middle' situation that currently exists with Vector would be avoided.