Industry Code Development (ICD) Process - Meeting Minutes						
Date:	02 October 2009	Date of next meetir	Date of next meeting: 09 October 2009			
Time:	9.30 am - 2 pm	Time of next meeting: 9.30 am - 2 pm				
Location:	Gas Industry Co	Location of next meeting	ng: Gas Industry Co			
Chair:	Concept Consulting Group	David Hunt	Chair			
Attendees:	Contact Energy	Alex Love	AL			
	Gas Industry Co	lan Wilson	IW			
		Melanie Strokes	MS			
		Christine Southey (left at 12:30pm)	CS			
	Genesis Energy	Roger Johnston (arrived at 10am)	RJ			
	Greymouth Petroleum	Chris Boxall (arrived at 10am)	СВ			
	MDL	David Bott (left at 12pm)	DB			
		Don Grey	DG			
		James Whistler	JW			
		Matt Chisnall	MC			
	MRP	Duncan Jared (video conference)	DJ			
		Jim Raybould	JR			
	Multigas	Syd Hunt (tele conference)	SH			
	NZ Steel	Dean Adams	DA			
	OMV	Nick McDougall	NM			
	On Gas and Vector Gas Contracts	Anna Carrick	AC			
	Todd Energy	Charles Teichert	CT			
	Vector Transmission (VT)	Paul Hodgson	PH			
Apologies:	Genesis Energy	Ross Parry				
	MDL	Murray Jackson				
		Ron Kelly				
	New Zealand Steel	Paul van Brakel				
	Nova Gas	Charles Teichert				
	Vector Transmission	Jo Murray				

Item	Discussion/Action	who	when
Approval of minutes PH requested that the minutes be amended to note his early departure from the meeting at 1:45pm. Attendees agreed with AL's suggestion that minutes should only note actions items and key areas of agreement/disagreement.		MS	05/10/09
DG ga SoPs to Back-t	gime presentation (MDL) ve a high level overview presentation of the work MDL has been, and what can be achieved through MPOC changes, BGX enhancements, and on meet many of the transmission balancing objectives. Key points from the presentation are noted below. po-Back Balancing: General agreement that for now the daily model for balancing is the right approach. DG noted that this does give rise to intra- ues ie peaking. MDL believes mechanism is needed to address peaking.		
MDL to behavi	provide evidence on whether the peaking incentive is necessary and how costs are recovered in situations where 'extreme' and 'normal' pur is exhibited. ves pool: Attendees agree with removal of the incentives pool but also generally agree that something is required to deal with the 'gap' between	DG	23/10/09
price b claims.	ased balancing and a critical contingency event. Attendees to provide MDL views on provisions for handling Welded Party to Welded Party	All	23/10/09
Imbalance tolerances: It was agreed that tolerances impact on the allocation of balancing costs but not the total quantum balancing costs incurred. MDL is strongly of the view that tolerances should be set to zero. MDL to provide several slides on trade-offs between the level of tolerance and the degree of cost socialisation.			16/10/09
UFG:	nbalances: Attendees were generally comfortable with description of how MDL proposes to manage TSO imbalance. There was broad agreement amongst attendees with MDL's proposal for dealing with UFG. AL questioned who received the economic benefit of an oted that the costs of buying and selling UFG could be added onto transmission tariffs.		
MDL a	nd VT treatment of UFG (the 'netting' of UFG across two systems) to be discussed as part of unified regime presentations.	PH/ DG	09/10/09
	tch & metering adjustments: Attendees generally agree with MDL's proposals to retain mismatch and the process for metering adjustments.		
	nal pricing & thresholds: Gas Industry Co to draft ToR for consultant to undertake study on balancing gas pricing and mismatch. Information: Attendees agree with the provision more information on balancing transactions on the BGX.	IW/ MS	09/10/09
	disagreement was expressed on what is the best platform for delivering the information. However, the issue of which platform will not significantly on the progress of this process.		
	provide note on proposal to increase the availability of some pipeline information currently available only to Welded Parties. RJ queried whether tion on imbalance rate of change could be provided.	DG	09/10/09
possib	on to the proposed timing, attendees discussed whether there was some way for shippers to signal their nominations to TSOs in advance. The lity of there being alternative ways to address the tightened timetable was also discussed.		
MDL to	Attendees were encouraged to provide feedback on proposed SoPs. provide a note to the group canvassing the complete proposal for MPOC amendments relating to the Balancing Agent functions. consider whether operating ranges can be more dynamic (to be discussed in different forum).	DG	09/10/09
	w of D+1 (Gas Industry Co presentation) tation on M-co's results to be held after an ICD meeting. Presentation to be circulated in advance and meeting date to be advised.	MS	TBA
dispute	(hand-out) es: Attendees agree that double jeopardy should be avoided and when possible disputes should be handled between parties outside of the resolution process 'resolution period'. one attendee agreed with the adoption of the Rulings Panel.		
	s for next meeting nd VT to present unified balancing regime proposals.	PH/ DG	09/10/09